Defendant

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

United States District Court

ENTERED

August 13, 2021

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

for the
Southern District of Texas

United States of America
v.
)
Case No. 4:21-mj-1694-3
Angel Guerrero-Jaramillo

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- \underline{X} Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
- X Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions have been met:
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
\square (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

X B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to be a safety of the community because the results of the results of the community because the results of t	
committed one or more of the following offenses:	
\underline{X} (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	(21
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 or more is prescribed;	years
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum to imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	erm of
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
\underline{X} C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
X The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention he the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	aring
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably as the safety of any other person and the community.	sure
\underline{X} By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assume the defendant's appearance as required.	ıre
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:	
\underline{X} Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong	
\underline{X} Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted	
Prior criminal history	
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	
History of violence or use of weapons	
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse	
Lack of stable employment	
Lack of stable residence	
Lack of financially responsible sureties	
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district	
\underline{X} Significant family or other ties outside the United States	
\underline{X} Lack of legal status in the United States	
X Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration	

Case 4:21-mj-01694 Document 21 Filed on 08/13/21 in TXSD Page 3 of 3

Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The Court finds that Defendant has introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption of detention. However, for the reasons stated on the record and described below, the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance as required.

Defendant, age 55, does not have any legal status in the United States. He has illegally lived here for the past 25 years. His wife and four children, however, are all United States citizens. But his parents still live in Mexico and he maintains daily contact with them.

In the instant case, Defendant was arrested after a backpack was found in his vehicle containing approximately 5 kilograms of crystal methamphetamine. Defendant then consented to officers searching his house and they found another approximately 5 kilograms of crystal methamphetamine in his garage. Defendant is the subject of another DEA investigation that resulted in the seizure of 7 kilograms of crystal methamphetamine in November 2020.

The weight of the evidence against Defendant is extremely strong, he is facing a lengthy prison sentence if convicted and will most likely be removed from the United States after he is released from prison. Therefore, the Court finds that there is no condition or combination of conditions of release that will reasonably assure the appearance of Defendant.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The Defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The Defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the Defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: _	August 13, 2021	San
		Sam S. Sheldon
		United States Magistrate Judge